AMENDMENTS

In the claims:

1. (currently amended) A method of switching an Ethernet packet, the method comprising:

computing a plurality of tags for the Ethernet packet, each of said plurality of tags

computed using two or more fields in said packet, wherein said fields are selected from

Ethernet and network headers in said packet and said plurality of tags corresponds to a

plurality of flow detectors in a flow switch node:

looking up the computed plurality of tags in a table, the table containing entries

associated with tags, the entries associating switching information with a tag, said switching

information defining a route through a plurality of interconnected switch nodes; and

using said switching information associated with one of the computed plurality of tags

from the table to switch the packet, if there is an entry for at least one of the computed

plurality of tags in the table.

2. (previously presented) The method of claim 1, wherein the method of switching an

Ethernet packet further comprises sending the packet to a system with resources for routing

a packet and for determining switching information.

3. (previously presented) The method of claim 2, further comprising updating the table to

include an entry for the computed tag, and wherein the computed tag is associated with the

determined switching information.

2

McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff, LLP.

 $4. \ (\text{previously presented}) \ \text{The method of claim 2, further comprising including an entry in the} \\$

table for the computed tag associated with a switching instruction indicating that packets

should be dropped until the determining of switching information is complete.

5. (previously presented) The method of claim 1, wherein the entries in the table are

removed if the tag corresponding to the entry has not been looked up in a predetermined

period.

6. (original) The method of claim 5, wherein the length of the tag is determined by the

predetermined period, the number of entries in the table, and the probability of two packets

generating the same tag.

7. (canceled).

8. (previously presented) The method of claim 1, wherein a plurality of tables are maintained.

each table corresponding to one of the flow detectors.

9. (previously presented) The method of claim 1, wherein each of the tags in the plurality of

tags includes information about the associated flow detector.

10. (previously presented) The method of claim 1, wherein an error rate of the method is

measured based on the number of matches between tags in the table without regard to

which flow detector is associated with a tag.

McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff, LLP. 300 South Wacker Drive 3

11. (original) The method of claim 10, wherein a warning is issued when the error rate

exceeds a predetermined level.

12. (previously presented) The method of claim 5, wherein the predetermined period for

which entries in the table are retained without being looked up is decreased when the error

rate increases above a predetermined level.

13. (previously presented) The method of claim 5, wherein the predetermined period for

which entries in the table are retained without being looked up is increased when the error

rate decreases below a predetermined level.

14. (previously presented) The method of claim 1, wherein the plurality of tags are computed

in parallel by the plurality of flow detectors.

15. (previously presented) The method of claim 1, wherein each of the plurality of tags

computed by the plurality of flow detectors are the same length.

16. (previously presented) The method of claim 1, wherein the plurality flow detectors are

associated with a priority, and wherein the switching occurs according to the priority of the

flow detector.

McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff, LLP.

Δ

17. (previously presented) The method of claim 1, wherein the error rate of the switching

system is measured based on the number of cross flow detector tag matches in the table.

18. (original) The method of claim 1, wherein the computing further comprises using a mask

of bits of the packet as a seed for a hash code generator.

19. (original) The method of claim 18, wherein the hash code generator is a pseudo random

number generator.

20. (original) The method of claim 18, wherein the hash code generator is a shift register

with a feedback loop.

21. (original) The method of claim 18, wherein the hash code generator has a non-zero

probability of generating the same tag from different input packets.

22. (original) The method of claim 18, wherein the length of the tag is determined by the

probability of the hash code generator producing the same hash code from different input

packets.

23. (previously presented) A method comprising:

computing a tag for an Ethernet packet, said tag computed using at least two fields

5

in said packet, wherein said fields are selected from Ethernet and network headers in said

McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff, LLP. 300 South Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606

(312)913-0001

Serial No. 09/245,442 Attorney Docket No. 01-175-B

Filing Date 02/04/1999

packet_and a length of said tag is determined by the probability of a hash code generator

producing an identical hash code from different input packets;

looking up the computed tag in a table, the table comprised of entries, the entries

associating information about packet flows with tags, the information including route

information specifying a route through a plurality of interconnected switch nodes;

updating information about the packet flow associated with the computed tag if there

is an entry for the computed tag;

creating a new entry in the table if there is no entry for the computed tag; and

removing entries that have not been accessed for a predetermined period from the table.

24. (original) The method of claim 23, wherein the creating further comprises storing data

extracted from the packet in the entry.

25. (original) The method of claim 24, wherein the data includes billing information for the

packet.

26. (original) The method of claim 24, wherein the packet is sent to a system with

resources for analyzing the packet and determining billing information to be associated with

the entry for the computed tag.

27. (original) The method of claim 23, wherein the removing further comprises transferring

the data associated with a tag to a system with resources for storing information.

6

McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff, LLP.

28. (original) The method of claim 23, wherein the computing further comprises using a \mbox{m}

ask of bits of the packet as a seed for a hash code generator.

29. (previously presented) The method of claim 23, wherein the hash code generator is a

pseudo random number generator.

30. (previously presented) The method of claim 23, wherein the hash code generator is a

shift register with a feedback loop.

31. (previously presented) The method of claim 23, wherein the hash code generator has a

nonzero probability of generating the same tag from different input packets.

32. (canceled)

33. (previously presented) The method of claim 23, wherein said fields used to computed

said tag are selected from Ethernet and network headers in said packet.

34. (previously presented) The method of claim 23, wherein said fields used to compute said

tag are specified by a template, said template specifying fields for a particular protocol.

35. (previously presented) The method of claim 34 wherein said protocol is the real time

protocol (RTP).

McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff, LLP.

7

36. (previously presented) The method of claim 34 wherein said protocol is the hyper-text

transfer protocol (HTTP).

37. (previously presented) The method of claim 1 wherein said fields used to compute said

tag are specified by a template, said template specifying fields for a particular protocol.

38. (previously presented) The method of claim 37 wherein said protocol is the real time

protocol (RTP).

39. (previously presented) The method of claim 37, wherein said protocol is the hyper-text

transfer protocol (HTTP).

40. (previously presented) The method of claim 1, wherein each flow detector is loaded with

a template for detecting a different protocol.

41-45. (canceled)

8

McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff, LLP.